The European Commission's science and knowledge service

:

3

Joint Research Centre

d'.



Colloque anniversaire du PIREN-Seine

Pressures, Ecological Status and Ecosystem Services in EU waters

Grizzetti B., Udias A., Vigiak O., Aloe A., Zanni M., Bouraoui F., Pistocchi A., Dorati C., De Roo A. (JRC)

11th December 2019, Sorbonne University, Paris



Content

- Relationship between multiple pressures and ecological status in EU freshwaters
- 2. Link between ecological status and water **ecosystem services**
- **3. Measures** to reduce nutrient pollution and water scarcity in EU freshwater and coastal waters (**scenarios analysis**)





Objective

Evaluate the effectiveness of the EU water policies at the European scale.

Provide independent scientific support to EU policy:

- Water Framework Directive → River Basin Management Plans, including Programme of Measures, first cycle (2009-2015), second cycle (2015-2021), third cycle (2021-2027)
- Urban Waste Water Directive
- Nitrates Directive

Mission of the Joint Research Centre: The Joint Research Centre is the Commission's science and knowledge service. The JRC employs scientists to carry out research in order to provide independent scientific advice and support to EU policy



1. Multiple Pressures and Ecological Status



Assessment of pressures

Pressure	Proposed Indicator	Acronym
Pollution	Nitrogen concentrations in rivers	Nconc
	Phosphorus concentrations in rivers	Pconc
	Diffuse pollution from urban runoff	Heaney
Hydrological	Total water abstractions	WatDemand
alterations	Flow alteration (25%ile)	Q25
	Flow alteration (10%ile)	Q10
Hydromorphological	Density of infrastructures in floodplains	INFRfloodp
alterations	Ratio of riparian vegetation width on floodplain width	NATfloodp
	Artificial Land cover in floodplains	URBfloodp
	Agricultural Land cover in floodplains	AGRfloodp
Integrated	Artificial Land cover in catchment area	catchURB
	Agricultural Land cover in catchment area	catchAGRI



Assessment of pressures

















Proxy of Ecological Status of rivers





Relationship between single pressures & **Ecological Status of rivers**

water flow

2

High

Good

Moderate

Ecosystem degradation

Poor

Bad



Scientific Reports



Relationship between multiple pressures & Ecological Status of rivers



The good ecological status of rivers is explained by a combination of pressures. The most important predictors are:

- the presence of natural areas in floodplains
- Intrient concentration (especially nitrogen)
- infrastructures in floodplains
- urbanisation and agriculture in the drained catchment



Probability of good ecological status in European rivers, based on multiple pressures



The proportion of the EU surface where rivers meet the water policy target of good ecological status, with a probability of at least 70%, is 32%



Effects of scenarios of improvements of pressures



The predicted increase in good ecological status by simultaneously reducing nitrogen concentration in rivers and enhancing natural areas in floodplains is slightly higher than the sum of the predicted increase by changing the two pressures independently, showing a synergistic effect



2. Ecosystem Condition and Ecosystem Services



Mapping and assessment of ecosystem services of rivers, lakes and coastal waters

Table 2

Proxies/indicators to quantify ecosystem services at the European scale adopted in this study.

Ecosystem services	Natural capacity	Service flow	Sustainability or efficiency
Water provisioning (for drinking and non-drinking)	Total renewable water	• Water demand	Water Exploitation Index (sustainability)
Water purification	 Natural areas in floodplains 	 Nitrogen retention 	 Ratio of nitrogen retained vs. total input to water body (efficiency)
Erosion prevention	• Density of vegetated riparian land	 Sediment retention in riparian land 	 Ratio sediment retention in riparian land vs. total input to water body (efficiency)
Flood protection	Natural areas in floodplains	 Water volume retained for a flood with 200 years return time 	
Coastal protection	 Protection capacity of natural systems 	Protection supply	
Recreation and tourism	 Recreation potential 	 Recreation opportunity spectrum 	



Relationship between ecosystem services and conditions (ecological status)

d. Water purification - Natural capacity

(Kruskal-Wallis test p<0.05; Jonckheere-Terpstra test *decreasing* p<0.05) Natural areas in floodplains



(Ecological Status of rivers)

e. Water purification - Service flow (Kruskal-Wallis test p<0.05; Jonckheere-Terpstra test *increasing* p<0.05)

Nitrogen retention in rivers





(Ecological Status of rivers)

Grizzetti et al, 2019 STOTEN



Key messages

Ecosystem services (flow) Provisioning

 water abstractions

Regulating

- water purification
- erosion retention
- flood protection
- coastal protection

Cultural

recreation



as indicator of ecosystem condition

- Higher ecosystem service delivery is mostly correlated to better ecological status
- Relevance of protecting and restoring aquatic ecosystems





3. Measures to reduce nutrient pollution (scenarios analysis)



Aim

- Quantify nutrients loads and concentrations to freshwater and coastal waters
- Identify the **major sources** (point and diffuse) of nutrient pollution and their location
- Evaluate the effectiveness of measures to reduce nutrients pollution from different sources
- Assess the impact of **policy scenarios** on nutrient water quality



Structure

Simulations (GREEN model, LISFLOOD model):

- Current conditions (REF)
- Business as Usual (BAU)
- Enhanced reduction of nutrients (NUTR)
- High Technical Feasibility Reduction (MTFR)





Geo-database





GREEN model



- Conceptual statistical regression model
- Sub-catchments (7 km² average size)
- Annual nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) load
- Application at the European scale
- Represent basic measures of nutrient reduction form point and diffuse sources



GREEN model inputs & links to other models

Outputs per catchment and at the sea outlets:

Inputs per catchment:

				GREEN model output can be use as
	Precipitations			input to JRC marine models
Climate				
		\mathcal{V}		 N and P loads (ton/y)
Hydrology	• Stream network & catchments (CCM2, HydroEurasiaV1)			• N and P sources contribution to load (%)
119 010 89	Lakes (Ecrins)Discharge (from LISFLOOD)		GREEN	 N and P budget per river basin (kg/ha)
			model	• N and P concentrations
Land use	• Corine Land Cover (2006-2012)			estimated combining nutrient loads and the water flow from LISFLOOD model (mg/l)
Anthropogenic	 Mineral and manure fertilisers applications and nitrogen crop fixation (CAPRI model spatialised using CLC) 			
pressures	• N atmospheric deposition (EMEP model). P background			
	losses estimated			
CREEN model parameters are calibrated	Discharges from domestic sources (UWWID database combined with IRC gap filling). Discharges from industrial			
using observed data of nitrogen and	plants (E-PRTR)			
phosphorus concentrations in surface waters (from EEA WaterBase)	• Water consumption for irrigation (from LISFLOOD)			European Commission

Scenarios - Nutrients

	Urban point pollution (UWWTP)	Agriculture diffuse pollution (AGRI)
Current Situation (REF)	Situation in 2012	Situation in 2012
Business As Usual (BAU)	Nitrogen and phosphorus reduction in UWWTPs according to the current investments reported by the Member States under the UWWTD data	Reduction of nitrogen and phosphorus emissions to waters considering the additional measures funded under the Rural Development Programme 4b priority
Nutrient (NUTR)	Nitrogen and phosphorus reduction in UWWTPs in case of full implementation of the UWWTD except Article 5.4	Application of maximum 170 kgN/ha of manure in all Nitrogen Vulnerable Zones according to the Nitrates Directive without considering areas under Derogations
High Technical Feasibility (MTFR)	Nitrogen and phosphorus reduction in case all UWWT plants are upgraded to the highest treatment level	Improvement of the nitrogen surplus (set to 10%) reducing mineral fertiliser and keeping the current agricultural production



Mean annual water flow

Differences from reference (%)





CONTRACTOR

Mean annual nitrogen concentration

Differences from reference (%)





Mean annual phosphorus concentration

Differences from reference (%)





Scenarios - Nitrogen loads to European seas (tonN/yr)





Scenarios – Phosphorus loads to European seas (tonP/yr)





Key messages

According to the scenario analysis (MTFR):

- Decrease in nitrogen (-17%) and phosphorus (-22%) mean concentration at sea outlets
- 14% reduction of nitrogen loads, and 20% reduction of phosphorus loads to European seas
- Change in N:P ratio
- Regional differences



Final remarks



EU policies implementation and evaluation

- > 5th Implementation Report of the WFD (COM(2019) 95 final)
- Fitness check of the Water Framework Directive
- Evaluation of the Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive
- Evaluation of the Biodiversity Strategy to 2020 (MAES ecosystem assessment)



EU Assessments

- EEA, European waters assessment of status and pressures 2018 (EEA) Water Information System for Europe (WISE)
- EEA, The Environment and Outlook Report 2020 (SOER 2020) <u>https://www.eea.europa.eu/soer-2020</u>
- ➤ Knowledge Hub on Water and Agriculture → Scientific knowledge to support the implementation and integration of agricultural and water policy objectives in the EU https://water.jrc.ec.europa.eu/

